UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/607,858	06/27/2003	Alan Michael Jaffee	7304	7146	
JOHNS MANV	7590 07/20/201 <sup>,</sup> TLLE	EXAMINER			
Legal Departme	ent	CHOI, PETER Y			
10100 West Ute Avenue Littleton, CO 80127			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1786		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/607,858	JAFFEE, ALAN MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
PETER Y. CHOI	1786		

	PETER Y. CHOI	1786	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 July 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slast forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on the nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit <a href="MAMENDMENTS"><u>AMENDMENTS</u></a>			e appeal. Since a
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brief,	will <u>not</u> be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con		TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	•	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		i be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <i>1-6,8-15,17-27 and 32</i> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.  ☐ The request for reconsideration has been conside because:  See Continuation Sheet.	red but does NOT place the applic	eation in condition for a	allowance
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	, , , ,		
/D. Lawrence Tarazano/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1786			
•			

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

For example, the proposed amendments to the claims, such that the surface texture does not remain visually perceptible under illumination by obliquely incident light after the first face is painted, raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

Additionally: The proposed amendment does not differentiate the prior art from claimed invention. Claim 1 is directed to a "paintable gypsum board" not to a painted structure. The proposed amendment relates to how the painted structure is observed. Different painting techniques as well as different paints will give different observed surface roughness when painted on the same substrate, see the rejection under 112 Second Paragraph set forth in the Final Action. The proposed amendments would not correct the deficiencies in the reproducibility of the proposed test. Depending on how the same product is painted, different results will be observed and one working in the art would have no reasonable way to tell if they were infringing on the claims.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: due to the proposed amendments not being entered, Applicants' amendments are not commensurate in scope with the current claims.